

Train the breath first, then the thinking A 12-session method for performing under pressure

Alexander Balabanov, PhD · Founder, DynamixLab

Summary of: "Methodology for improving personal performance based on heart rate variability analysis"

With Vasil Marchev and Angel Marchev Jr. (UNWE) · XXIV International Scientific Conference "Management and Engineering" (ISCME '26), Technical University of Sofia · Presented June 2026 · proceedings forthcoming

01 The question

Most performance management asks whether a person can handle a challenge. My question was different: how could the same person handle the same challenge better? Stress is not only a feeling. It shows up in the body, and it quietly narrows thinking at the moment we need it most. I wanted a practical, repeatable coaching method that works on both sides of that problem, and a way to see whether anything changed. The marker I used is heart rate variability (HRV): the small, healthy changes in the time between heartbeats, a marker of how well the body adapts to pressure.

02 What I did

- Designed a dual-layer method. Layer 1 is biometric: HRV and breathing recorded with wearable and finger-sensor biofeedback devices, which show the person their own signal in real time. Layer 2 is qualitative: structured interviews before and after the programme, coded into themes such as physical stress reactions, emotions, coping habits and changes in confidence.
- Built a coaching programme of 12 individual sessions over 6 months, 2 per month, each lasting 60 to 90 minutes. Each session follows the same rhythm: review of home practice, a new technique, guided practice, combining it with techniques already learned, and new home exercises.
- Sequenced the techniques deliberately. The early sessions focus on breathing (for example diaphragmatic, box and slow resonance breathing), because its effect on HRV is direct and visible on screen. Cognitive techniques come next: reframing unhelpful thoughts, stepping back from inner dialogue, positive visualisation and attention practice. The later sessions combine both.
- Tested the method in two small pilots. First, a comparison of 2 employees in the same recruitment company in an identical situation: a routine conversation with their manager that suddenly turned into a request for an unplanned mini-presentation. One employee had no coaching; the other had completed 3 sessions. Second, one participant completed the full 12-session programme, with 2 HRV recordings during presentations before it and 2 after.

03 What I found

- In the comparison pilot, the employee without coaching showed a sharp drop in HRV the moment the presentation request came. The coached employee's HRV rose and settled at a higher level. This is one observation of each person, not a group result.
- For the participant who completed the 12 sessions, the average HRV score from the device rose from about 21.3 before the programme to about 30.8 after it, across matched lengths of recording.

- The spread of the HRV values also widened, from about 6.3 to 7.6. Read together with the higher average, this suggests a broader, more flexible range of response rather than a flat, braced one.
- The interviews pointed the same way. Before the programme the participant described physical panic before presenting, saying that before a session they had "already walked 8000 steps without leaving my house". Afterwards they described reframing fear as excitement and looking for chances to present.

When the breath is steady, the cognitive work has somewhere to land.

04 What it means for leaders

- Pressure moments such as presentations, difficult conversations and unexpected requests are trainable skills, not fixed traits. A structured programme can target them directly.
- Order matters. Starting with breathing gives people a quick, visible win on their own signal, which builds the trust needed for deeper work on thinking habits.
- Pair numbers with conversation. The HRV signal shows that something changed; the interviews show what changed for the person and why it matters to their work.
- Keep it voluntary and individual. The person owns their data, and it is used for their coaching only, never for appraisal or monitoring.

05 Limits, honestly

This is a small pilot. The full 12-session programme was completed by one participant, and the comparison involved 2 people, one per condition, observed once each. The statistical tests in the paper compare many data points from the same person's recordings before and after the programme, so they describe change within that individual and cannot be generalised to a team or a population. HRV also moves with sleep, fitness and daily circumstances, which this design did not isolate. The method depends on specialist sensors and real coaching time, and the sample lacks diversity in age, gender and background. The next step is a properly sized study with a separate comparison group. HRV here is a self-regulation and coaching tool, not a medical measure.

— Source

Balabanov, A., Marchev, V., & Marchev, A., Jr. (2026). Methodology for improving personal performance based on heart rate variability analysis. Paper presented at the XXIV International Scientific Conference "Management and Engineering" (ISCME '26), Technical University of Sofia, June 2026.

FULL PAPER

Request a copy:
a.balabanov@dynamixlab.com

MORE RESEARCH

dynamixlab.com/research

TALK IT THROUGH

dynamixlab.com/contact